

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,414	12/12/2001	Tso-Hung Fan	JCLA7737	4054
7:	590 04/03/2003			
J.C. Patents, Inc.			EXAMINER	
4 Venture, Suit Irvine, CA 92			PHAM, LY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

ر ہ		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/015,414	FAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ly D Pham	2818			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 f	<u>-ebruary 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment		. ,				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	- · · · ·	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 10/015,414

Art Unit: 2818

Applicants' Response to the First Office Rejection has been entered in Office paper No.
 dated February 06, 2003.

DETAILED ACTION

2. Claims 5 - 8 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergemont et al. (US Pat 6,327,187 B1).

Regarding claim 5, Bergemont et al. disclose a method of erasing a non-volatile memory coprising the steps of:

applying a first positive bias to the drain region (col. 2, lines 61 - 65, 'applying a second erase voltage to the drain region'), applying a second positive bias to the gate conductive layer (col. 2, lines 61 - 65, 'applying a first erase voltage to the first gate and second gate'), and grounding the source region and the substrate (col. 2, lines 61 - 65, 'In addition, ground is applied to the source region and the semiconductor material, the substrate) to generate hot electron holes in a channel region, wherein the hot electron holes are injected to the charge-

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/015,414

Art Unit: 2818

trapping layer through the nitride tunneling layer (this is inherent as an effect of the biases as described being applied to the non-volatile memory cell).

Although Bergemont et al. disclose the method of erasure for memory cell having first and second gates, nevertheless, in the process of erasure, the biases being applied to these gates are the same, and for the purpose of erasing the memory cell, would make it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to conceptually identify the equivalence in the process disclosed to the non-volatile memory cell with a single gate.

Regarding claim 6, Bergemont et al. further disclose the method of claim 5, wherein the first positive bias ranges from about 2V - 5V (fig. 2, 5V is applied to drain).

Regarding claim 7, the examiner takes an Official Notice that the ranges of voltages chosen for the process of erasing the transistor is only a matter of design choices and hence, considered common sense since applicants have not disclosed that the specific voltage ranges solves any stated problem or is for any particular purposes.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,414

Art Unit: 2818

7. When responding to the office action, Applicant(s) are advised to provide the examiner

with the page and line numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to

locate the appropriate paragraphs.

8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months

and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02(b)).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ly Pham, whose telephone number is 703-305-4862. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm, alternate Friday

off. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached at 703-308-4910. The fax number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Ly Pham

March 25, 2003

HOAI HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4